

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Ext. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/765,112	01/18/2001	Karl Lang	ME-30	8261
75	590 09/03/2003			9
Friedrich Kueffner			EXAMINER	
317 Madison Avenue, Suite 910 New York, NY 10017			CROSS, LATOYA I	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1743	
		DATE MAILED: 09/03/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		45~9				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/765,112	LANG, KARL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	LaToya I. Cross	1743				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron , cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17.	<u>lune 2003</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application	1					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>30-34</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3 and 4</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2 and 5-29</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept	oted or b) objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ammer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	- maileaith	a) (4) a a (6)				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	s have been received					
<u> </u>	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.					
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_				
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).				
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
0.00						

Application/Control Number: 09/765,112

Art Unit: 1743

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of group I, claims 1-29 in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged. Claims 30-34 are withdrawn from consideration.

Priority

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "6" has been used to designate both holder ring (p. 11, line 25) and the opening for the holder ring (p. 13, lines 20-23). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/765,112

Art Unit: 1743

5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. Claims 1, 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 3,552,212 to Ohlin in view of US Patent 3,266,322 to Negersmith et al.

Ohlin discloses a device for cleaning the exterior of instruments, such as transfer devices. The device comprises a ring (22) for holding the transfer devices. The ring is connected to an arm, which in turn is connected to a vertical post (23) on a housing (11). The vertical post is mounted for axial reciprocation and rotation. A washing device (24) is provided to wash the transfer instruments. The washing device is located vertically below the transfer instruments. The washing device contains a passageway (30), through which wash fluid flows toward the transfer instruments. The washing device is separate from the instrument holder. See figures 1-3.

Ohlin fails to teach a movable washing device that moves in relation to the instruments being cleaned.

Negersmith et al teach an automatic wash apparatus. The apparatus includes a turntable (12) having multiple sample-holding instruments. A tube containing washing liquid (20) is movable in timed relation to the movement of the turntable plate (col. 1, lines 60-65). The tube is moved into and out of each instrument, thereby allowing washing fluid to clean each instrument. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Ohlin

Art Unit: 1743

by using a movable washing device to allow for effective cleaning of the instruments. Where the washing device is movable in relation to the instruments to be cleaned, the cleaning is more efficient because the washing liquid is able to contact areas of the instruments not reachable by a stationary washing device.

Therefore, for the reasons set forth above, Applicants' claimed invention is deemed to be obvious, within the meaning of 35 USC 103 in view of the teachings of Ohlin and Negersmith et al.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 2 and 5-29 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LaToya I. Cross whose telephone number is 703-305-7360. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on 703-308-4037. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

LaToya I. Cross

Examiner

Art Unit 1743 La Toya (2008)